

Catalan's conjecture over number fields

R. Balasubramanian
Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
CIT Campus, Taramani,
Chennai-600113, India.

`balu@imsc.res.in`

Prem Prakash Pandey
Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
CIT Campus, Taramani,
Chennai-600113, India.
`premsg@imsc.res.in`

February 8, 2009

Abstract

Catalan conjecture/Mihailescu theorem is a theorem in number theory that was conjectured by Mathematician Eugene Charles Catalan in 1844 and was proved completely by Preda Mihailescu in 2005. The note stating the problem was not given the due importance at the beginning and appeared among errata to papers which had appeared in the earlier volume of Crelle journal. The problem got its due consideration after the work of Cassles and Ko Chao in 1960s. The Catalan problem is that the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$ has no solution for x, y, m, n in positive integers with $m > 1, n > 1$ other than the trivial solution $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$. An important and first ingredient for the proof is Cassles criteria which says that whenever we have a solution of $x^p - y^q = 1$ with p, q odd primes then $q|x$ and $p|y$. Here we look a generalization of the problem, namely we will consider the equation $x^p - y^q = 1$ where x, y takes value in ring of integers O_K of a number field K and p, q are rational primes. In this article we supply a possible formulation of Cassles criterion and a proof for the same in some particular cases of

number fields. We expect to follow Mihailescu and characterize the solution of Catalan equation over quadratic number fields.

1 Introduction

The Catalan's conjecture is that the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and m and n rational integers with $m > 1, n > 1$ has no solution other than the trivial solution $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$. One can easily reduce it to the case that asks that the equation

$$x^p - y^q = 1, x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z} \quad p, q \text{ are rational primes.} \quad (1)$$

has no solution other than $(\pm 3)^2 - 2^3 = 1$. For a solution of equation (1) we refer it as tuple (x, y, p, q) . Note that whenever (x, y, p, q) is a solution then so is $(-y, -x, q, p)$ for p, q odd primes. For small primes p, q the equation was dealt separately by Ko Chao and Lebesgue. The very first approach to the general problem was made by Cassles in 1960 who was able to prove that any solution (x, y, p, q) of equation (1) with p and q odd primes satisfy $q \mid x$ and $p \mid y$. Also Cassles made a weaker conjecture that equation (1) has only finitely many solutions. This weaker conjecture was settled by R. Tijdeman in 1976 positively.

Here we look a generalization of the problem, namely we will consider the equation

$$x^p - y^q = 1 \quad (2)$$

where x, y takes value in ring of integers O_K of a number field K and p, q are rational primes.

It is worthwhile to remark that it was proved in 1986 by R. Tijdeman, K. Gyory and B. Brindza that for a fixed number field equation (2) has only finitely many solutions.

Let us begin with the remark that Cassles criteria in general is not true for number fields as for any choice of odd primes p, q and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ one can choose $x \in \mathbb{C}$ to satisfy $x^p - y^q = 1$ and define $K = \mathbb{Q}(x)$.

Looking at the Cassles criteria for equation (1) and knowing that Catalan conjecture is true for equation (1) one is tempted to formulate Cassles criteria for equation (2) in any of the following three ways:

- (1) $q \mid x$ and $p \mid y$ or
- (2) $\gcd(q, x) \neq 1$ and $\gcd(p, y) \neq 1$ or

(3) Only primes in x are those above q and only primes in y are those above p .

Note that first two possibilities are same for equation (1) and possibility (3) is stronger than Cassles but knowing that Catalan is true for equation (1) it reduces to be same (as seen from only solution of equation (1)). Here we will look which of the three criteria can be expected and proved. In the wake of remark that Cassles is not true in general we will proceed with obvious restriction $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq p, q$ (One can similarly construct examples where all three possibilities are violated if this restriction is not there). We have been able to prove the possibility (2) for quadratic imaginary number fields with class number 1. In this article we will restrict ourself for imaginary quadratic number fields K with class number one unless otherwise stated and prove formulation (2) of Cassles criteria.

2 Some preliminary results

Lemma 1. *Let K be an imaginary quadratic number field with class number one then equation $x^p - y^q = 1$ with p and q odd primes and x and y in O_K has no solution for $p = q$.*

Proof. On contrary lets assume $p = q$ then one has $\epsilon = x - y$ is a unit in O_K . case-1. p does not inert in K . In this case the residue field $O_K/\mathfrak{p}$ has order p where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal lying above p in K .

Thus we have $(x - y) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ i.e. $\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is not possible as $p \geq 3$ (compare lemma 2).

case- 2. p inerts in K . In this case one has $\sigma(x - y) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ where σ is $x \rightarrow x^p$ an element of $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p)$ here k is the residue field.

this gives $x - y \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ as σ fixes p . giving $\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ a contradiction.

□

Lemma 2. *Let K be a quadratic imaginary number field and ϵ be a unit in O_K if $p \geq 3$ is a prime and $\wp$ is a prime ideal above p then $\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp} \Rightarrow \epsilon = 1$*

Proof. From dirichlet unit theorem one has, only units in K are roots of unity. Since K is quadratic the only roots of unity it can have are $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2$, ω being cube root of unity. Now $\text{Norm}(\epsilon - 1) = 2 - 2\text{Re}\epsilon$. From

this it follows that only prime dividing $Norm(\epsilon - 1)$ is 2 hence $\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp}$ can not hold for $p \geq 3$ unless $\epsilon = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let K be a quadratic imaginary number field with class number one then 3 does not split in K as product of two distinct primes unless $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$.*

Proof. Knowing all quadratic imaginary number fields we see that if 3 factors in two distinct primes then $12 = (a^2 - db^2)$ with $d = -3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ which does not hold unless $d = -3$ and $d = -11$. In case $d = -3$, 3 is ramified. In case $d = -11$, 3 splits as product of two distinct primes. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let K be a number field and p a rational prime then for any $x \in O_K$ one has $\gcd(x^p \pm 1/x \pm 1, x \pm 1) = \wp$ Where $\wp \mid p$.*

Proof. We will give the proof for + sign for -ve sign it follows similarly. If the $\gcd(x^p - 1/x - 1, x - 1) = 1$ then we are done, otherwise we have $x \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp^r}$ where r is the exact power of $\wp$ in $\gcd(x^p - 1/x - 1, x - 1)$. Now from $\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + 1$ one gets $\wp^r \mid p$ as needed. $\square$

Remark 1. *using Chinese remainder thm one can see that in general $\wp \neq p$ in above lemma.*

Lemma 5. *Let $a \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| \leq 1$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider $(1 + z)^a = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \binom{a}{r} z^r + E_1(a, m)$. and $(1 - |z|)^{-a} = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \binom{-a}{r} (-|z|)^r + E_2(a, m)$. Then one has $|E_1(a, m)| \leq |E_2(a, m)|$.*

Proof. First note that every term in the expansion of $(1 - |z|)^{-a}$ is positive. r^{th} term in $(1 + z)^a$ is $\binom{a}{r} z^r$ and r^{th} term in the expansion of $(1 - |z|)^{-a}$ is $\binom{-a}{r} (-|z|)^r$ and one has $|\binom{a}{r} z^r| \leq \binom{-a}{r} (-|z|)^r$ hence the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 6. *For $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $a \in \mathbf{R}^+$ with $|x| \leq 1$ one has $|E_2(a, m)| \leq \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{m!} |x|^m (1 - |x|)^{-a-m}$.*

Proof. We will use induction on m . For $m = 1$ we want to prove $((1 - z)^{-a} - 1) \leq ax(1 - x)^{-a-1}$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} lhs &= - \int_{1-x}^1 \frac{d}{dt}(t^{-a}) dt \\ &= a \int_1^{-x} -x^1 t^{-a-1} dt \\ &\leq a(1-x)^{-a-1}x \quad (\text{since } |\int_c^d f(t)dt| \leq \max\{|f(t)| : t \in (c, d)\}(d-c)) \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. Let us write $E(x) \equiv E(a, m)(x) \equiv (1 - x)^{-a} - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \binom{-a}{r} (-x)^r$ then one has

$$\begin{aligned} E'(x) &= a(1-x)^{-a-1} - \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} r \binom{-a}{r} (-1)^r x^{r-1} \\ &= a(1-x)^{-a-1} - \sum_{r=0}^{m-2} (r+1) \binom{-a}{r+1} (-1)^{r+1} x^r \\ &= a(1-x)^{-a-1} - \sum_{r=0}^{m-2} -a \binom{-a-1}{r} (-1)^{r+1} x^r \\ &= aE(a+1, m-1)(x) \\ &\quad (\text{because one has } (r+1) \binom{-a}{r+1} = (-a) \binom{-a-1}{r}) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E(a, m)(x) &= \int_0^x E'(a, m)(t) dt \\ &= a \int_0^x E(a+1, m-1)(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{(m-1)!} \int_0^x t^{m-1} (1-t)^{-a-m} dt \\ &\quad (\text{Using induction step}) \\ &\leq \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{(m-1)!} (1-x)^{-a-m} \int_0^x t^{m-1} dt \\ &\quad (\text{Since } (1-t) \geq (1-x)) \\ &= \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{(m-1)!} (1-x)^{-a-m} \frac{x^m}{m} \\ &= \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{m!} (1-x)^{-a-m} x^m \end{aligned}$$

as needed. □

Remark 2. By lemma 5 one has $|E_1(a, m)| \leq \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+(m-1))}{m!} |x|^m (1 - |x|)^{-a-m}$.

Theorem 1. Let $b \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|b| \geq 2$ then for integers $p > q \geq 3$ one has $0 < |((b^p - 1)^q + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - b^q| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} |((b^p - 1)^q + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - b^q| &= |b^q(((1 - b^{-p})^q + b^{-pq})^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)| \\ &= |b^q((1 - b^{-p})^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 + A)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)| \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $A = b^{-pq}(1 - b^{-p})^{-q}$.

Now we will use lemma 5, lemma 6 and remark 2 successively with various values of m .

From remark 2 one gets

$$|(1 - b^{-p})^{\frac{q}{p}} - (1 - \frac{q}{p}b^{-p})| \leq \frac{(\frac{q}{p})(\frac{q}{p} + 1)}{2} |b|^{-2p} (1 - |b|^{-p})^{-\frac{q}{p}-2}.$$

Hence one has

$$(1 - b^{-p})^{\frac{q}{p}} = (1 - \frac{q}{p}b^{-p}) + \lambda_1 \frac{(\frac{q}{p})(\frac{q}{p} + 1)}{2} |b|^{-2p} (1 - |b|^{-p})^{-\frac{q}{p}-2}. \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_1 \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\lambda_1| < 1$.

Similarly one gets

$$(1 + A)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1 + \lambda_2 \frac{1}{p} |A| J(1 - A)^{\frac{-1}{p}-1} \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\lambda_2| \leq 1$.

Now since $q < p$ and $|b| \geq 2$ from equation (4) one has

$$(1 - b^{-p})^{\frac{q}{p}} = 1 - \frac{q}{p}b^{-p} + 2\lambda_1' |b|^{-2p} \quad (6)$$

(As $\frac{(\frac{q}{p})(\frac{q}{p}+1)}{2} \leq 1$ and $(1 - |b|^{-p})^{-\frac{q}{p}-2} < 2$)

Similarly from equation (5) one obtains

$$(1 + A)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1 + 2\lambda_2' \frac{|b|^{-(p+1)q}}{p} \quad (7)$$

$(|(1-A)^{\frac{-1}{p}-1}| < 2 \text{ and } \frac{|A|}{|b|^{-(p+1)q}} < 1)$

Using equation (3),(6),(7) one obtains

$$|((b^p - 1)^q + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - b^q| = |b^q(\frac{-q}{p} + 2\lambda_1' |b|^{-2p} + 4\lambda_2'' |b|^{-(p+1)q})| \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda_2'' \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\lambda_2''| < 1$ Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} & |((b^p - 1)^q + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - b^q| \\ & \leq |b|^q(|\frac{q}{p}| |b|^{-p} + 2|b|^{-2p} + 4|b|^{-(p+1)q}) \\ & = |\frac{q}{p}| |b|^{-p+q} + 2|b|^{-2p+q} + 4|b|^{-pq} \\ & \leq |b|^{-p+q} + 2|b|^{-2p+q} + 4|b|^{-pq} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{As } |b| \geq 2, p > q \geq 3)$$

Of course the quantity is nonzero hence the theorem. $\square$

3 Main results

Theorem 2. *Let K be a quadratic imaginary number field with class number one. Then for any solution of catalan's equation*

$$x^p - y^q = 1$$

with primes $p > q \geq 3$ and x, y in O_K one has

- (1) $\mathfrak{q}|x$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal in O_K above q . and
- (2) $|x| \geq q + q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$.

Proof. From lemma (4) it follows that we need to prove

$$\gcd(< \frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} >, < y + 1 >) \neq 1$$

where $< t >$ denotes ideal in O_K generated by t .

On the contrary assume that

$$\gcd(< \frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} >, < y + 1 >) = 1$$

But one has $(\frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1})(y + 1) = x^p$

Therefore both $y + 1$ and $\frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1}$ are p^{th} powers up to a unit (As K has class

number one).

Also $p \geq 5$ so every unit is a p^{th} power and hence one obtains

$$y + 1 = b^p \quad \frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} = u^p \quad \text{for some } b, u \in O_K$$

One can assume that $|b| \geq 2$ unless b is a root of unity.

(If this is not the case then one can look at Galois conjugate of b , note that the Galois conjugate of b also comes from a solution of Catalan equation).

We note that b can not be a root of unity as the only possible roots of unity in K are $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm \omega$ ω being the cube root of unity.

If b is a unit then $|y + 1| \leq 1$ and hence from equation (2) it follows that $|x|^2 \leq 5$ as $p > q$ also $x = (bu)^p$ so either $|x|^2 = 1$ or $|x|^2 > 5$ hence we get x is also a unit which will give, u is a unit. Also one has $y^q + 1 = u^p(y + 1)$

$$\therefore |y|^q - |y| \leq |u^p - 1| \leq 2 \quad \text{since } u \text{ is also a unit.} \quad (9)$$

Now lhs of (9) is an increasing function of $|y|$ for $|y| \geq 1$, But (9) does not hold with $|y| = 2$ hence $|y| = 1$ thus y is also a unit. Hence difference of two units is 1, this is clearly not true.

So now one has

$$x^p = (b^p - 1)^q + 1 \text{ with } b \in O_K \text{ and } |b| \geq 2. \text{ Putting } \varepsilon = x - b^q \text{ one gets}$$

$$\varepsilon = ((b^p - 1)^q + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - b^q$$

Now by Theorem 1, $0 < |\varepsilon| < \frac{1}{2}$ a contradiction as $\varepsilon \in O_K$.

This proves (1).

Thus one has $\mathfrak{q} | x$ and one obtains

$$< y + 1 > = < b^p > \mathfrak{q}^{p-1} \quad < \frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} > = < u^p > \mathfrak{q} \quad < x > = < ub > \mathfrak{q} \quad (10)$$

Now we will prove (2). By expansion one can see that

$$\frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} \equiv q \pmod{(y + 1)}$$

We consider following cases:

Case (a): q is inert in K .

Here we have $\mathfrak{q} = < q >$. From equation (10) one has $u^p q \equiv q \pmod{q^{p-1}}$

Thus one obtains $u^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q^{p-2}}$ Since $p > q$ one obtains

$u \equiv 1 \pmod{q^{p-2}}$ Now we will note that $u = 1$ is not possible. If $u = 1$ then $\frac{y^q + 1}{y + 1} = q$ i.e. $|y|^q - q|y| \leq q - 1$

But lhs is an increasing function of $|y|$ for $|y| \geq 1$, but above inequality does not hold even for $|y| = 2$ and $|y| = 1$ corresponds to x, y being unit and which we have ruled out.

Hence $|u| \geq \frac{1}{2} q^{p-2}$ giving us $|x| \geq \frac{1}{2} q^{p-1}$ from which (2) follows.

Case (b): q splits in K

Here we have $q = \pi\pi'$. Again using equation (10) one obtains $u^p\pi = \pi\pi' \pmod{\pi^{p-1}}$ hence $u^p = \pi' \pmod{\pi^{p-2}}$. Since $|O_K/\pi^{p-2}O_K| = q^{p-2}$ hence the map $x \rightarrow x^p$ is an automorphism of multiplicative group of $O_K/\pi^{p-2}O_K$ so the equation $u^p = \pi' \pmod{\pi^{p-2}}$ has unique solution in the multiplicative group. Also there exist rational integers a, b with $ap + b(q^{p-2} - q^{p-3}) = 1$ there are infinitely many such a 's and they satisfy $(\pi')^{ap} = \pi' \pmod{\pi^{p-2}}$. For each such $a > 0$ there is an $\alpha \in O_K$ such that $u = (\pi')^a + \alpha\pi^{p-2}$. We claim that $\alpha \neq 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ we have $\frac{y^q+1}{y+1} = (\pi')^{ap}\pi$ hence $(\pi')^a |x$ giving us $q|x$ so $q^p|x^p$ but $q^2 \nmid \frac{y^q+1}{y+1}$ hence $q^{p-1}|y+1$ giving us $y+1 = b^p q^{p-1}$, $\frac{y^q+1}{y+1} = u^p q$ and $x = buq$ in which case we are back to case (a). Hence if $|a| < p-2$ we get $|u| \geq \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ from which (2) follows.

So now one has $|a| \geq p-2$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ here we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &\geq ||\alpha||\pi|^{p-2} - |(\pi')^a| \\ &= |q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\alpha| - q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(\pi')^{a-(p-2)}| \\ &= q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}||\alpha| - |(\pi')^{a-(p-2)}|| \end{aligned}$$

Now $||\alpha| - |(\pi')^{a-(p-2)}|| \geq 1$ or is 0. If for any $a \geq p-2$ it is not 0 then we are done. In case $||\alpha| - |(\pi')^{a-(p-2)}|| = 0$ then we see that only primes in α are those above q . Also if $\pi'|\alpha$ then $q|x$ and we can proceed as earlier so we can assume that only prime dividing α is π and then from $|\alpha| = q^{\frac{a-(p-2)}{2}}$ it follows that $\alpha = \eta\pi^{a-(p-2)}$ where η is some unit in K so we have $u = (\pi')^a + \eta\pi^a$. Now writing $\pi = q^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{2\pi i\theta}$ we get that $|u| \leq 2q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$. Also since θ is irrational and a comes from an arithmetic progression we can find an $a_0 > p-2$ such that for all units η in K one has $|e^{-2\pi ia_0\theta} + \eta e^{2\pi ia_0\theta}| > \frac{1}{2}$. But $p > q > 3$ so $q^{\frac{a_0}{2}} > 4q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ giving us $|u| > 2q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$. The lower bound and upper bound obtained for u do not match hence a contradiction.

Case (c): q ramifies in K . Here one has $q = \pi^2$ and equation (10) leads $u^p\pi = \pi^2 \pmod{\pi^{p-1}}$ giving us $u^p = \pi \pmod{\pi^{p-2}}$ so one has $\pi|u$ hence $\pi^p|u^p$ giving us $\pi^2|\pi$ (note that $p > 4$). So in this case we will be lead to $\gcd(<\frac{y^q+1}{y+1}>, <y+1>) = q$ and then one can handle the bound on u as in case (a). $\square$

Remark 3. 1. The bound obtained in (2) is for every solution of Catalan.
 2. In case the prime q does not split then the bound is much stronger, $|x| > \frac{1}{2}q^{p-1}$.

Proposition 1. For a solution of the equation $x^5 - y^3 = 1$ in field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ the bound $|x| > q + q^{p-2}$ does hold.

Proof. In case $|x| \leq 30$ then from $x^5 - y^3 = 1$ it follows that $|y + 1| \leq ((30)^5 + 1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1$ but we also have that $\langle y + 1 \rangle = \langle b^p \rangle \mathfrak{q}^{p-1}$ the two things together gives us $|b|^2 < 4$ giving us, $|b|^2 = 1, 2, 3$ but $|b|^2 = 2$ does not hold for any $b \in O_K$ and $|b|^2 = 3$ will give that $\gcd(b, q) \neq 1$ hence we are left with $|b|^2 = 1$, thus b is a unit but that we have already ruled out in proof of theorem 2. $\square$

Remark 4. The above proposition actually tells us that for fixed primes p, q one can find X (equivalently Y) such that the equation $x^p - y^q = 1$ does not admit solutions with $|x| < X$ (equivalently $|y + 1| < Y$).

Theorem 3. Let K be a quadratic imaginary number field with class number one. Then for any solution of catalan's equation

$$x^p - y^q = 1$$

with primes $p > q > 3$ and x, y in O_K one has $\wp | x$ where $\wp$ is a prime ideal in O_K above p .

Proof. From lemma (4) it follows that its enough to prove

$$\gcd(\langle \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} \rangle, \langle x - 1 \rangle) \neq 1$$

Assume otherwise, Then one obtains

$$x - 1 = a^q \quad \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = v^q \quad \text{with } a, v \in O_K. \text{ Since } x \text{ is large so one has } |a| \geq 2.$$

Now consider the power series

$$F(t) = (1 + t)^{\frac{p}{q}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{k} t^k. \text{ series converges absolutely for } |t| < 1$$

$$\text{Now } x^{\frac{p}{q}} = (1 + a^q)^{\frac{p}{q}} = a^p F\left(\frac{1}{a^q}\right)$$

Put $m = [\frac{p}{q}] + 1$, where $[s]$ is integral part of $s \in \mathbf{R}$, then $m \geq 2$. Let $F_m(t)$ denote the sum of terms in $F(t)$ of degree $\leq m$

One notes that $y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q})$ is of the form $\frac{y'}{D}$ where $y' \in O_K$ and

$D = a^{mq-p}q^{m+ord_q(m!)}$. Hence

$D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q})) \in O_K$ also $q \nmid D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))$ so $D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q})) \neq 0$

Now one has

$$D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q})) = D((y - a^p F(\frac{1}{a^q})) + a^p(F(\frac{1}{a^q}) - F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))) \quad (11)$$

One has $y = (x^p - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x^{\frac{p}{q}}| &= |x^{\frac{p}{q}}((1 - x^{-p})^{\frac{1}{q}} - 1)| \\ &= |x^{\frac{p}{q}}(1 - \frac{1}{q}x^{-p} + \lambda \frac{(\frac{1}{q})(\frac{1}{q} + 1)}{2}|x|^{-2p}(1 - |x|^{-p})^{\frac{-1}{q}-2} - 1)| \end{aligned}$$

(for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\lambda| < 1$)(Using lemma (5) , (6))

Hence one gets

$$|y - x^{\frac{p}{q}}| \leq \frac{1}{q}|x|^{-p+\frac{p}{q}} + \frac{1}{q}|x|^{-2p+\frac{p}{q}}(1 - |x|^{-p})^{\frac{-1}{q}-2} \quad (12)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q}(|x| - 1)^{-p+\frac{p}{q}} - \frac{1}{q}|x|^{-p+\frac{p}{q}} &= \int_{|x|-1}^{|x|} t^{-p+\frac{p}{q}-1} \frac{-p+\frac{p}{q}}{q} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q}|x|^{-p+\frac{p}{q}-1} | -p + \frac{p}{q} | \\ \text{clearly } |x|^{-p+\frac{p}{q}-1} | -p + \frac{p}{q} | &\geq |x|^{-2p+\frac{p}{q}}(1 - |x|^{-p})^{\frac{-1}{q}-2} \\ &\quad (\text{ As } |x| \geq 2, p > q \geq 3) \end{aligned}$$

Now from equation (12) it follows that

$$|y - x^{\frac{p}{q}}| \leq \frac{1}{q}(|x| - 1)^{-p+\frac{p}{q}} \leq \frac{1}{q}(|x| - 1)^{-3} \quad (\text{as } p - \frac{p}{q} \geq 3) \quad (13)$$

Hence

$$|D(y - a^p F(\frac{1}{a^q}))| \leq q^{m+ord_q(m!)} \frac{|a|^{mq-p}}{q(|x| - 1)^3} \quad (14)$$

Now we will bound $|D||a^p(F(\frac{1}{a^q}) - F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))|$

Let us write $t = m + \text{ord}_q(m!) = \frac{p-1}{q} + 1 + \frac{p-1}{q(q-1)} + \frac{1}{q-1}$ One has

$$\begin{aligned} |Da^p(F(\frac{1}{a^q}) - F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| &= q^t |a|^{-q} \sum_{r=m+1}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{r} |a|^{-q(r-(m+1))} \\ &\leq q^{t-(\frac{p-2}{2})} \sum_{r=m+1}^{\infty} \left| \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{r} \right| (q^{\frac{2-p}{2}})^{r-(m+1)} \\ &(\because q^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \leq |a|^q) \end{aligned}$$

Now put $a_r = \left| \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{r} \right| (q^{\frac{2-p}{2}})^{r-(m+1)}$

Since $r \geq (m+1)$ one obtains $\frac{a_r}{a_{r+1}} > q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$

Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=m+1}^{\infty} \left| \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{r} \right| (q^{\frac{2-p}{2}})^{r-(m+1)} &\leq \left| \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{m+1} \right| \frac{1}{1 - q^{\frac{2-p}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\left| \binom{\frac{p}{q}}{m+1} \right|}{(m+1)!} \frac{q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{q^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{m+1} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \\ &(\text{Since } |\frac{p}{q} - m| \leq 1 \text{ and } |\frac{p}{q} - m + 1| \leq 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|Da^p(F(\frac{1}{a^q}) - F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| \leq \frac{2}{3} q^{t-\frac{p-2}{2}} \quad (15)$$

From equation (11), (14) and (15) it follows that

$$|D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| \leq (q^t \frac{|a|^{mq-p}}{q(|x|-1)^3} + q^{t-\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{2}{3})$$

Now $|a|^{mq-p} \leq |a|^q \leq (|a|^q - 2)^2$ also $x-1 = a^q$ hence $|a|^q - 2 \leq |x| - 1$ giving

$|a|^{mq-p} \leq \frac{(|x|-1)^3}{|a|^q - 2}$ Further one has $|a|^q - 2 \geq |x| - 3 \geq q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ (from previous theorem).

$\therefore$ one has $|D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| \leq q^{t-\frac{p-2}{2}} (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{q})$.

Now for $q > 5$ one has $t - \frac{p-2}{2} < 0$ hence $|D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| < 1$ a contradiction as $D(y -$

$a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}) \in O_K$.

For $q = 5$ again for $p > 7$ one has $t - \frac{p-2}{2} < 0$ and one obtains a contradiction. Whereas in case $p = 7$ one can obtain (with precise calculation) $|Da^p(F(\frac{1}{a^q}) - F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| \leq \frac{32}{93}q^{t-\frac{p-2}{2}}$ in place of equation (15) and obtain $|D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| < 1$.

For $q = 3$ and $p > 7$ one has $t - \frac{p-2}{2} < 0$ and one obtains a contradiction. when $q = 3$ and $p = 5$ one uses bound $|x| > q + q^{p-2}$ note that due to proposition 1 this is possible even for field $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ in which 3 splits. A more precise calculation of bound in equation (15) for these particular values of p and q leads to $|D(y - a^p F_m(\frac{1}{a^q}))| < 3^{\frac{7}{2}} \frac{1}{30} \frac{10}{27} \frac{1}{6} \frac{3^{\frac{5}{2}}}{3^{\frac{5}{2}-1}} + 3^{\frac{7}{2}} \frac{1}{81}$ which is less than 1, a contradiction again. Similarly for $q=3$ and $p=7$ a better bound on x (as done in proposition 1) and recalculating equation (15) yields the contradiction.

□

Remark 5. Note that if we want a bound like $|x| > q + q^{p-2}$ for $q=3$ and $p=7$ then the mechanism of proposition 1 will give us $|b| \leq 15$ leading to some choices of b , one can rule out them similarly, but then one can work with slightly weaker bound on x with a precise calculation of equation (15).

References

- [1] Y.F. Bilu, Catalan's Conjecture [after Mihăilescu], Sémin. Bourbaki, 55ème, année, n^0 , 909 (2002-2003).
- [2] P. Mihăilescu, Primary Cyclotomic Units and a proof of Catalan's Conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 572(2004), 167-195.
- [3] Cassels, J.W.S., On the equation $a^x - b^y = 1$. II. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 56 1960 97–103.
- [4] Cassels, J.W.S., On the equation $a^x - b^y = 1$. I. American Journal of Mathematics 75, 159-162, 1953.
- [5] Helmut Koch, Number Theory-Algebraic Numbers and Functions, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 24, American Society of Mathematics.